

Message# 147 - 7-11-2021 - The Faith Once Delivered to the Saints - Contending for the Faith

Preached first on 7/11/2021 on www.molibertyradio.us

Good morning everyone. Thank you for tuning in to the broadcast this morning.

I want to remind you again that we have reserved the Maranatha Bible Camp and Retreat Center again this fall - from what the world calls October 28 through the 31st. This will be our third Kingdom Conference and I hope you are making plans to attend. It will be here before you know it. Please let us know if you are planning to attend - even if you think there's the slightest possibility - please let us know.

Please remember to pray for Chris in the Wisconsin jail. I heard from his son this week and he is down to less than 5 weeks to go. Apparently, his dental issues are getting worse and Chris has an unsubstantiated concern - and I'm going to tell you about it - that he will have problems finding a dentist when he gets out. Let me tell you why - and I will tell you why I said his concern is unsubstantiated.

Sometimes we don't notice some of the new world order agenda progressing around us - because it might be something that doesn't really affect us at the time - so we don't notice. Let me give you an example - and it just so happens that it concerns the exact same issue Chris is going through - something that happened to me.

I've told you before - and I don't even like talking about it because I don't want people to think I care - or worse - I don't want people to think it's a sympathy play. It is not.

But when I was in jail - due to my fasting and to the horrible water they had in the jail - I developed some dental issues of my own. So what? Battle scars? It happens - so what - I'm not the least bit bothered by it. Our Lord, His disciples, many saints who have come after them - and before them - suffer far worse issues than dental problems. Personally, I count it an undeserved honor and privilege to have endured some minor discomfort for the cause of Christ.

Anyway, last year, one of the teeth that had been causing me problems broke off while we were out at dinner one evening. I'll just tell you - it was brutal. And of course, it was a "Friday" evening - so I thought I would be enduring through the weekend - after the weekend - when I could possibly get to a dentist. The worst part of it - was that there was some sharpness that was cutting the inside of my mouth. Totally brutal. Very very

painful. And, it wasn't until a couple days later - I learned about dental wax - which is something kids with braces use to keep their braces from cutting the skin inside their mouth. So, when I learned about that stuff - I immediately went to get some - applied it - and had got immediate relief from the cutting. it was great, so if you ever some kind of issue like that, get some dental wax. But, I still needed to deal with the tooth at some point very soon.

We don't have a "regular family dentist" so Teresa got on the internet and start searching for someone to help me. She began to notice that every single one of them said something like this, "Must bring state issued I.D. when you come to the dentist office." Well, that was odd. I had certainly never seen that before immediately began wondering if my Commonwealth of Israel I.D. was going to be accepted or not. By the way, that's typically not a concern at all - because my Commonwealth of Israel I.D. is usually met with a rolled red carpet (an unrolled red carpet) whenever and wherever I present it.

Anyway, I still wondered what was the purpose of requiring "state issued id" to go to the dentist office to get tooth pulled. Chris is under the impression that if is not abl to present "state-issued id" he will not be able to get dental work. This is unsubstantiated. And, it wasn't until I got through the visit - did it dawn on me as to why they were making that demand. When we got to the dentist office, they handed me a clipboard with information to fill out and I gave them only the information I wanted to give them. Signed the consent for treatment line and that was it. When I told them I would be paying cash - there was absolutely nothing said about producing any kind of I.D., state-issued or otherwise.

Many times, when I see things out there in their society such as the I.D. requirement, of course, I think of the worst case scenario - "show me your papers" etc. But I found out why the ID requirement was there - and yes - for almost every single person in their society that goes to the dentist - and all I can speak of is the area where we live - but apparently it might be the same in Wisconsin - because Chris seems to think the same way - but the reason for the ID requirement is because so many people in their system - in their society - are either scammers, liars, thieves or all the above - people are going into dentist's offices and claiming to be someone they are not - in order to have their dental bill put on someone else's insurance policy.

So, to prevent that, the dental offices are requiring photo I.D. to make sure they don't get caught up in an insurance scam. My guess is, if they turn in a bill to an insurance

company - and the insurance company finds out the person that we received the dental work was not the person covered by the insurance policy - the dentist office doesn't get paid - and might even have some liability. So - government issued photo ID is "required."

As bad as cash is - and if you recall - I've told you before the first book I wrote was called, "Paper Money, the Destruction of a Nation" - but we are so far gone in this society - with the people we are surrounded by - cash is about a thousand times better than credit cards, insurance policies, and all the garbage that goes with all of that.

I told Chris's son to tell Chris he will have no problem finding a dentist that will take his cash and do his dental work - and I don't care if he signs the dental consent form with the name Mickey Mouse. For most people running a "business" - most - not all - there are absolutely some extreme nutjobs out there who are more dedicated to the agenda than they are to making sure their bills are paid and their workers are paid - but most people out there will gladly take your cash - perform their service for you - and go on to the next.

I just can't strongly urge you enough - if you are a follower of Christ (maybe you are on the fence) - do all you can - especially with your local purchases - do all you can in cash. Folding green cash - not credit cards. If you have to make a purchase online - then go to the Dollar Store and buy a pre-paid debit card with cash - and do that. That's still not good - but neither is the cash - but it's still a better option - and until and unless we ever get our acts together and get into community - the Ecclesia - all we are going to be able to do is the least of all evils - but the least of all evils (remember)- is still evil! But cash is definitely the least of the evils.

The other day Teresa and I were driving to Springfield. We passed Lawrenceburg, passed a sign for Everton, another for Ash Grove, passed one for Republic, one for Beau D'Arc - the Brookline - and I just looked over to her and said, "I just don't understand why Christians believe that it is impossible in these days to build a community. We just passed through all those different communities. Communities are only allowed to have been built 100 years ago. No new ones. And, the only communities that are allowed are ones that believe in man's laws - no new communities - and especially no new communities where the people who settle them agree that God's Laws will be the Laws. I mean, how silly? What a ridiculous concept. All the "nations" in the world are all set. There can never be a new one - especially a true Christian nation." I was reminding her that not that long ago - there was a "nation" called the USSR - and it was huge and

mighty and strong - but today - it is gone. The same will be said about the USA also. It will be gone.

So then, the only thing that will rise out of that will have to be another godless “nation?”

Well, I remind you again of what the “churchmen” said in the debate. All the nations of the world are God-ordained and they are all ran by the demonic powers in the world. It doesn't matter that the demons and the devils and the Satans - and all that realm was destroyed by Christ in the first century - they're going to revive them - resucitate them - give them new life in our generations and then say they are God ordained to rule over us - because - I didn't tell you this last week - “Christians are obligated by God to be their slaves.” It is our Christian duty to be ruled over by the “demonic powers of the world.”

So - to think that we should be building called-out Christian communities of believers - in our generation (according to them) - is simply unbiblical.

Until we get delivered from that false doctrine - and I mean get rid of it so completely to where every single time we think of the U.S. or Canada, or England or Spain or Russia - or what should be as obvious as the day is long - Israeli - until it becomes such a part of us that whenever we hear those names - we do not immediately think of false - rebellious - usurpers of the true nation of God - and replace it with the purity of the Kingdom of Christ being the Only God Ordained Government allowed to exist - until we sweep our minds clean of the concept that men's “governments” have even the slightest trace of legitimacy (they do not) - we will do nothing in our generation for advancing the Kingdom of Christ.

I received an email from someone this week who was talking about being different - choosing the King and His Kingdom (and that's great to hear) - and then he included something about “standing for Christ in our churches and assemblies” - sorry - but that's possibly one step forward and two steps backwards. “Churches” are not only NOT Biblical - they are in opposition to the truth of the Word and what Christ said He would build.

“Churches” do not rule in the affairs of men and people who are still involved in that mindset are there to make sure that there is a “separation of church and state” as if that is in the Bible, the Words of Christ - and the twain shall never meet. “Church is

church” the Ecclesia is the Kingdom of Christ and they are as opposite as night and day black and white. As Matthew said in his hollering note to me - “We are not talking about semantics.” He is right. It's not a matter of semantics. It's a matter of one subject is nothing like the other. Totally different in every single way.

We have been asked to pray for Brian and Jessica. They are seeking - let's pray that they will continue with their search until they get the reward. God is faithful and will reward those who diligently seek Him. Continue to pray for Larry. Pray for Ecclesia.

I read an article this week that children are coming down with respiratory illnesses that are actually worse than their fictional Covid. Well, isn't that a shocker. I wonder what that could be? Do you suppose it might have anything to do with the fact that their idiot government, their idiot doctors - oh woops - I did it again - they aren't idiots - they aren't stupid - they are knowledge hesitant - they're knowledge hesitant leaders who have told them what a great idea it is to put muzzles over their God created exhaust systems - and now they are getting sick. That is just amazing how that works.

Friends, it's called rebellion. And Yahweh does not look too kindly on rebellion. It doesn't take a huge amount of understanding or reasoning - just a little bit of common sense - just the slightest bit of belief that there is a God and that He was the Creator (and that He knows best) - and He did all that He did for specific purposes - and man should not be meddling into those purposes thinking that mere man knows better than his Creator. But that is exactly what they are doing - and rest assured - God will not be mocked. If you sow things that are against nature - you are going to reap bad things as a result of it. I'm telling you their science is rebellion. They do not believe in the Creator God of the Bible.

If God had wanted you to muzzle your face - He would have told you when to do it. Well, He did, actually. If you are robber, thief, murderer, rapist - you should probably cover your face. If you are a prostitute - then cover your face. If you have leprosy - then cover your upper lip - which obviously then would cover your lower one, too. If you are a woodworker or a finisher - and you are dealing with noxious fumes that could harm you - well - two things - maybe you should consider doing something where you are not exposing yourself to things you know will harm you - and if you simply can't - then by all means - protect yourself. And for those of you who are tasked with those types of services - no one has to tell you that a simple little paper mask you can buy at Walgreens is going to protect you.

Now, this next subject, I don't know how else to tell you what I need to say next - but just to put it out there and as they say - "let the chips fall where they may."

I often tell you that when I preach, I am preaching just as much at and to me as I am anyone else. What I believe applies to everyone else - applies to me equally - if not more and I do everything I can to put into practice what I preach. This is not a game for me. This is my life.

If someone sends me an email or calls me, or whatever, and I believe what they say does not line up with Scripture - I'm going to do my best to point them to the right way of thinking. I don't claim to know it all. Some of you might think so - but I do not. But I have been at this for almost 60 years. I have learned a thing or two. And, when I know I'm right and have Biblical support for it - I'm going to - and where I was heading with this when I started this little segment - I am going to earnestly contend, strive, struggle, fight for the faith once delivered to the saints. When a system of belief comes into my life that does not line up with the faith once delivered to the saints - I'm going to confront it. I'm going to go after it - with the number one goal of turning it - turning the one who's thinking is contrary - the number one goal is to turn their thinking to the faith once delivered to the saints.

If someone writes to me and advocates futurism, I'm not just going to sit by and say nothing. I'm going to say something. Futurism - in any shape or form - sets back the cause of the Kingdom.

For instance, (and I believe I touched on this a few weeks ago) saying that the Beast of Revelation is alive and well - that's U.S. "government" or the Catholic "church" or the Baptist "church" - no - it isn't - the beast of Revelation was destroyed back in the first century. The danger in telling people that the beast of Revelation is alive today - ultimately puts the whole purpose of the book of Revelation - the entire reason it was written - it's the Revealing of Christ as King of kings and Lord of lords - and what it does is - it puts **that** in the future.

There is a reason why the "churchmen" have gotten paid off to teach futurism - it places the Kingship of Christ in the future and the ordination of God of man's little g "governments" - it means that it is still in effect today. You can tell people all day long to "Come out of the beast system today" and all you are doing is taking one step forward and two steps backward.

Yes. We want people to Come Out, absolutely. But the message needs to be truthful. Nothing but the truth has the power to change people's lives. Man's little g "governments" absolutely operate in the spirit of antichrist. They function like the beast of Revelation - although not nearly as lethal - it should and is - way easier to Come out of man's little g "governments" today than it was when EVERY SINGLE SAINT who claimed the name of Christ - refused to join the beast of Revelation. It's way easier today to Come Out than it was back then - but few do today - because they believe the lie that the book of Revelation is still future. Christ is only a spiritual king ruling over the "church" - He's not King now - and until He returns - God has commanded people - His people - to lead the way in obeying, worshiping, not resisting, men's evil "governments" today.

Futurism is the biggest reason why we are not reaching people with the mind-changing truth that will turn our generation around to the King and His Kingdom - and if someone contacts me with the message of futurism - *in any shape or form* - I'm going to respond that they are not teaching truth - every single time - that will be my response. In hopes that they will finally understand and get the truth that is capable of changing men's thoughts and ultimately their lives.

The same is said for the CONstitution. To my knowledge, in the last 30 years - I have not purposefully spelled the word CONstitution in other way than with the first three letters capitalized. Capital C-O-N lowercase stitution. There is nothing, not one single thing about the document that Christians - true followers of Christ - should be advocating for. The document was the official declaration where men stood - their fists raised to the heavens and said, "OUR CONstitution and OUR laws, treaties and agreements, SHALL BE THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND."

George Washington with his whiskey distillery, Thomas Jefferson and his black slaves he made babies with, Ben Franklin and his orgies - now say wait just a minute there, Charlie - okay - sure. I found this from a "historian" about Ben Franklin:

Drunken Satanic Orgies? According to this History Channel special on the occult: "In the late 1700s, a group of Englishmen formed the first Hellfire Club, a fraternity dedicated to drinking, sex, and at times ridiculing Christianity and mocking its sacred rituals. Members met in monasteries to revel in black masses and drunken orgies. An occasional participant was the American Ambassador to Great Britain ... Benjamin Franklin." End quote.

Why not? An historian said it. I mean, right from the History Channel. It has to be true. So, those good, Godly guys, Washington, Jefferson and Franklin - they may have been the most wholesome Christians of the day - but I'm sorry - when they declared that something other than the Laws of God were to be the Supreme Law of the Land - I don't care how nice, how kind, how gentle (when they weren't leading 30,000 federal troops to crush his whiskey competition) - no matter how sweet and honorable those men were - when they declared their laws to be above God's Laws - they revealed all they needed to reveal about themselves and the true follower of Christ should have been totally appalled. I'm sorry. Saying that man's laws are above God's Laws is the original sin.

You can rebel against God with the most smiling face you can - but rebellion is rebellion and that's exactly what the U.S. CONstitution is. And, to be totally frank, I am just dumbfounded that we still need to have those conversations today among people who claim Christ. I realize how hard it is to change your mind about something that's been in there for so long - but having CONstitutions - and your own "laws" - which are simply man's definitions of right and wrong - good and evil - is adding to the Word of God - and in the most gentle case is unnecessary - but in the cases of the nation-states and the "churches" that advocate for them - it is absolute rebellion.

We do not need anything other than the Bible to rule society. Godly judges, men that have given their entire lives to understanding the Law of God, the Mind of God, the Will of God - are all that's necessary to make a Godly, correct ruling on any matter that arises today.

Look at I Corinthians chapter 6 this morning. Let's begin in verse 1. While you're turning there, I'm reminded at the utter horror that I saw come across the face of one of the "churchmen" at the debate when I made the statement that the ministers of God - the diakonos - the same people from Romans 12 - bear the sword in punishing evil.

Yes, he was horrified because his thoughts were the "church" and the "churchmen" and he was truly horrified at the concept of those giving those people power of the sword. He was in good company because the thought horrifies me, also. I could see it all over his face and in his mannerisms and I wish I would have stopped them to discuss it. The problem is, Godly men who have given their lives to the Law of God, the Mind of God, the Will of God are not in "church" and this guy knew it. But the Bible is not talking about "church" as it is known in the 21st century when Paul wrote I Corinthians 6. Of course, evil men crept in unawares and changed then inserted their wicked word - but I

Corinthians 6 is talking about the Ecclesia. It is talking about the Kingdom / Government of God. Look at it, please, verse 1:

[1] Dare any of you,

Everytime I read this verse, I have to go back to my childhood. As kids we used to say to one another, "How dare you?" Or, "I dare you" knowing that the consequences of accepting the dare might not be good. But, "How dare you? How dare you..."

having a matter against another, go to law before the unjust, and not before the saints?

What's he saying? You mean they had a choice? They had a choice in which "law" they would be governed by? Sure they did. And Paul was telling them which one they were supposed to be Governed by. How dare you go to 'law before the unjust" and not before the saints?

[2] Do ye not know that the saints shall judge the world? and if the world shall be judged by you, are ye unworthy to judge the smallest matters?

Go back to Matthew chapter 19. Keep your finger here and go to Matthew 19. Look at verse 27:

[27] Then answered Peter and said unto him, Behold, we have forsaken all, and followed thee; what shall we have therefore?

[28] And Jesus said unto them, Verily I say unto you, That ye which have followed me, in the regeneration when the Son of man shall sit in the throne of his glory, ye also shall sit upon twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel.

So Paul is telling the Corinthian Christians - go back to I Corinthians 6 - you need to be practicing right now for what is coming. If the world is going to be judged by you - you should certainly be starting with judging your own matters. And not only that - the matters they were judging between themselves were small matters. They weren't dealing with murderers and things like that in their Ecclesia. It was small matters. So you deal with the small matters, then if you ever have to deal with the larger matters - you'll be prepared.

You know, this passage alone - destroys the "church's" false teaching that the secular

little g “governments” of men are Ordained and that believers are to submit to them. Paul is commanding the Ecclesia Christians in Corinth NOT to go to the courts of the unbelievers.

Amazing how these guys will take five or 6 verses completely out of context - and make up there religions. I ask again, as I often do, what kind of a crazy mixed up God and book do we have? Submit to evil, resist evil, obey the devil, resist the devil - what is it? Which way is the right way?

Take the book from Genesis 1:1 and as you go - learn the mind and will of God as it is revealed in the first 39 books - then - when you come to the last 27 - see how those Words harmonize with the Will of God. God did not spend all His time in the first 39 books saying how great His Law is - and how men are to serve only His Law - then send His Son to all of a sudden say that His Laws are bad - and man can come up with a better way. That just doesn't make any sense. Turn the other cheek, go a second mile, resist not evil, do not abrogate and do away with the Laws of God. That just doesn't even make any sense. Verse 3, I Corinthians 6.

[3] Know ye not that we shall judge angels? how much more things that pertain to this life?

[4] If then ye have judgments of things pertaining to this life, set them to judge who are least esteemed in the Ecclesia.

Paul is saying that in the Ecclesia at that time - even the *least* esteemed in the Ecclesia were capable of judging disputes among them. That's amazing. Then, again, I'm reminded of the horror that came over that man when I talked about the leaders in the Ecclesia bearing the sword. So, he was horrified at the concept of his “church” leaders making judgements. Sure. Absolutely, I get it and understand the horror and share in it with him. “Church” and Ecclesia are not the same thing - in no way shape or form. You can rest assured I want no part of being in a judgement where men teach that the Laws of God have been replaced by the CONstitutions of men - or where men say that the rulers of Romans 13 are the demonic powers in the world. Amazing. Verse 5.

I'm reminded of years ago of this Baptist preacher that I knew for a long long time. This “preacher” said he was different, not like all the rest of them. While we were emailing back and forth one time, he told me there was no way he would be capable of judging according to the Law of God. I said, “You've got to be kidding me, you claim to have been studying the Word for 60 years and you don't know enough of the Laws of God to

judge?"

[5] I speak to your shame. Is it so, that there is not a wise man among you? no, not one that shall be able to judge between his brethren?

Yeah, that's what goes on in "church."

[6] But brother goeth to law with brother, and that before the unbelievers.

[7] Now therefore there is utterly a fault among you, because ye go to law one with another. Why do ye not rather take wrong? why do ye not rather suffer yourselves to be defrauded?

[8] Nay, ye do wrong, and defraud, and that your brethren.

But yet, the same writer, Paul, in Romans 13 is supposedly telling Christians they are to submit to the evil, demonic powers of "government" and if they don't submit - they bring to themselves damnation. What a crazy, mixed up man this Paul was.

No, not at all. When you know that I Corinthians 6 is talking about the Ecclesia - and Romans 13 is talking about the Ecclesia - and I Peter 2 is talking about the Ecclesia and the greater nation of the Commonwealth of Israel - and you know that Hebrews 13:17 and Titus 3 - all those are talking about the Ecclesia - and they were all written by different men of God - but they are all saying the exact same thing - then the Scriptures are just as clear as can be. They are in perfect harmony.

So, a man cannot come to me and talk about "church". He can't advocate for futurism and he can't speak in a positive light regarding the CONstitution, the founding fathers - without getting a response from me. It is my job - it is my main job in life - to earnestly contend for the faith once delivered to the saints and that's what I will do.

Now, I said all that to say this, if you recall, I said I was going to say something that was really hard. I almost wish I didn't have to say it, but I do. I have to say because I had to deal with it again this past week.

This one stinks because I feel like I have lost a friend - but I can't help it. I've got to respond.

I kept responding with truth to the error I was being sent. It kept going back and forth until a revelation came to me (from the writer) that really filled in some blanks for me. I

was sent a video of a song by some country singer named Alan something, I think. And it was called, "Am I the only one?" The video had a U.S. flag waving in the background while the lyrics were displayed. The song was all about the U.S. There was a reference to Bruce Springsteen's "Born in the USA." It was all about stirring up patriotism for the U.S.

When the video was sent to me, I was not the main recipient, but rather part of a group. And there was a statement sent about pointing people to the Kingdom of Christ. I responded by asking how this video was pointing people to the Kingdom of Christ. Frankly, I was disgusted with the video. As I often do, I went to the comments section to see (maybe I missed something) if someone, anyone, found the Kingdom of Christ in the video. Of course, there was nothing there.

Look, if we are telling people that a return to the CON, a return to the founding fathers, all that tripe is turning people to the Kingdom of Christ - then - no - I'm not letting that pass. That's the problem. That's not turning people from the problem. It's turning people right back to the source of the problem. It's turning people away from the Kingdom of Christ and back to the little g "governments" of men - and doing so in a hyper way. The same proponents of the CON and the founding fathers and all that stuff are the same ones pushing "church" and all that garbage. That's not helping the cause of Christ and what really stinks - is that in this day and age - there may be more people seeking truth more than ever before in our generation - and pushing them that way is pushing them further from the Kingdom. It isn't right and I'm going to speak against it.

In the end, the revelation that came was concerning to me and as much as I wish I could just ignore it - I can't.

Brethren, I've said this before, but it has to be said again. For those of you who enlisted in the military of the United States of America - that is not a badge of honor. I'm sorry. It's not a badge of honor. I went to two Bible colleges and not only is that not a badge of honor - but I repented of it. There's not a single thing I can do to change the fact - but that was wrong. No true follower of Christ has any business being a part of quote "Bible college" today. And likewise, any true follower of Christ needs to repent of being in the military for the United States of America. There's not a single thing that can be done about it. It's the past. Just like everyone else, it's the past and none of us can change our past. But we can certainly repent of it. Ask for and receive forgiveness and "go and sin no more."

I asked this man, who I thought was my friend, "Have you repented of being in the U.S. military, simple question?" If not, then don't send me anymore emails. To my knowledge, that's the first time I've ever done that. I was hoping like crazy I was going to hear from him that he had repented of - instead of wearing like a badge of honor - his "service" in the military. But I did not hear from him.

When a man - or God forbid - good grief - how can any nation get to this point - when a woman signs on to be a trained killer for the U.S. - they stand and swear an oath to defend and protect the U.S. CONstitution from all enemies foreign and domestic. To me, that's an attack waiting to happen on true followers of Christ.

Is it unreasonable that as a follower of Christ, we know whether someone is still committed to their unGodly oaths? Or have renounced their allegiances to the world and are committed to defending and protecting the Kingdom of Christ from all foreign enemies?

I read an article just this week about some "sovereign citizen" group that had been infiltrated by someone that was either in the military, or ex-military or something like that. You know I'm not sympathetic in any way to the "sovereign citizens" but the fact that infiltrators are a part of what they do. They admit it. That's what they do. I'm not a sympathizer particularly with Randy Weaver (I am sympathetic that his wife and son was murdered) and went he went through, but there were military people that had infiltrated a group of people that Randy Weaver was even loosely associated with - and they entrapped him - set up him up - over something really insignificant - and how did that end up? Randy's wife murdered, his son murdered, his friend shot - then all the misery of jail and trials - and all that. From infiltrators.

If I'm going to have friendships with people who claim to be followers of Christ, if they were part of the military or former cops - I want to know that they have renounced their oaths - and are truly following the Kingdom of Christ. And, you know what, I have friends that have done just that and I would trust them with anything I have.

I used to just believe everyone was sincere and everyone was honest. I used to be gullible. Teresa would tell me such. It didn't feel particularly good to hear that, but I guess it was true. I just wanted to believe everything good about anyone and everyone. But something happened - and you know what it was - and it changed my outlook. I'm not nearly as trusting as I used to be.

Jude warned, when admonishing the first century saints, to earnestly contend for the faith once delivered to the saints, he warned of certain men creeping in unawares - turning the grace of God into lasciviousness and denying the Only Lord.

One of the things that we need to guard against is not being able to receive correction. If someone thinks I'm wrong about the way I live or teach, I'm all ears. I would love to know how I need to correct things in my life. Proverbs 3:

[11] My son, despise not the chastening of Yahweh; neither be weary of his correction:

[12] For whom Yahweh loveth he correcteth; even as a father the son in whom he delighteth.

[13] Happy is the man that findeth wisdom, and the man that getteth understanding.

Proverbs 15:10

Correction is grievous unto him that forsaketh the way: and he that hateth reproof shall die.

Jeremiah 5:3

O LORD, are not thine eyes upon the truth? thou hast stricken them, but they have not grieved; thou hast consumed them, but they have refused to receive correction: they have made their faces harder than a rock; they have refused to return.

We wear the fact that we “served” in the U.S. military as a badge of honor? What was honorable? Look, I hate to have to say these things. But truth is truth. When, in the last 200 years has the U.S. military defended American people from enemies? The attacks that have come against the people living on this land have repeatedly come from domestic enemies - from the politicians - from the preachers - from the sodomites - from the abortionists - and when has the military ever stepped in to defend a man woman boy or girl from one of those attacks? (Or I should have included a baby from those attacks.) If the men in this country would rise up and throw out the freaks and queers and perverts - it would be the military in this country that would rise to defend the sodomites. That's a horrible truth - but they would do as they are told and follow orders.

In the end, to me, the most concerning part of “military” service in the U.S. is the oath swearing. To me, that is a concern. Swearing to defend a “government” that is openly anti-christ should alarm everyone that claims the name of Christ.

As followers of Christ, our allegiances should be completely and solely to the Kingdom, the Government, the Ecclesia of Christ. If a man is not willing to publicly declare his sole allegiance to the King and His Kingdom - then there is cause for concern.

When someone comes to the U.S. and wants to be a citizen of the U.S., the oath of citizenship says this:

Naturalization Oath of Allegiance to the United States of America
Oath

"I hereby declare, on oath, that I absolutely and entirely renounce and abjure all allegiance and fidelity to any foreign prince, potentate, state, or sovereignty, of whom or which I have heretofore been a subject or citizen; that I will support and defend the Constitution and laws of the United States of America against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I will bear arms on behalf of the United States when required by the law; that I will perform noncombatant service in the Armed Forces of the United States when required by the law; that I will perform work of national importance under civilian direction when required by the law; and that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; so help me God."

Where does that leave Christ?

Note: In certain circumstances there can be a modification or waiver of the Oath of Allegiance. See the USCIS Policy Manual.

And I did, and I'll get to it and just a minute.

The principles embodied in the Oath are codified in Section 337(a) in the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), which provides that all applicants shall take an oath that incorporates the substance of the following:

Support the Constitution;

Renounce and abjure absolutely and entirely all allegiance and fidelity to any foreign

prince, potentate, state, or sovereignty of whom or which the applicant was before a subject or citizen;

Support and defend the Constitution and laws of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic;

Bear true faith and allegiance to the same; and

A. Bear arms on behalf of the United States when required by the law; or

B. Perform noncombatant service in the Armed Forces of the United States when required by the law; or

C. Perform work of national importance under civilian direction when required by the law.

To be fair, I looked up what the waiver of oath circumstances are. Someone can remove the oath of joining the military, they can substitute the words solemnly affirm and they can of course, refuse the words, so help me God. The oath can also be completely eliminated if the person is not of sound mind - of course after being evaluated by a "medical professional."

If someone was coming into the Ecclesia - would we want to make sure they did not still have these oaths binding themselves to governments other than the Government of Christ? Or, unlike the U.S. "government" when someone joins it, does the Government of Christ allow for dual citizenships?

I don't want to play hardball. I don't want to be perceived as hard or mean. But friends, we are living in hard and mean times. It's time to tell people to get off the fence. In or out. Get in the Kingdom of God. If you are not in the Kingdom of God - if you want to wave the flags of men and be in their military and support the blue - and all that - then go on but don't think you can serve two masters because you can't. Unless you are able to do something that Christ said a man could not do. You can't serve two masters.

Alright, enough of that. That's a downer for me. It was not a good week for me considering what happened early on with this man who I thought was my friend.

Last week, I told you I had planned to go continue looking at "turn the other cheek." And in the closing minutes this morning, let's do that.

There are some who have a real stronghold on the minds of men - who think that Christ's teachings on "turn the other cheek, the second mile, resisting evil and loving your enemies" does away with the Laws of God.

Let's go back to Wesley's notes on "turn the other cheek." Matthew chapter 5, verse 38. Let's go ahead and turn there.

Ye have heard that it hath been said, An eye for an eye, and a tooth for a tooth:

Ye have heard (this is now Wesley's notes) — Our Lord proceeds to enforce such meekness and love on those who are persecuted for righteousness' sake (which he pursues to the end of the chapter) as were utterly unknown to the scribes and Pharisees.

Wesley begins to excoriate the scribes and the Pharisees. He is saying that Christ was teaching the scribes and the Pharisees something about the Law of God that they knew nothing about.

It hath been said — In the law, as a direction to judges, in ease of violent and barbarous assaults.

An eye for an eye, and a tooth for a tooth — And this has been interpreted, as encouraging bitter and rigorous revenge. Deuteronomy 19:21.

Please open your Bibles to Deuteronomy chapter 19 and let's read beginning in verse 1. This is what I was talking about early on in this message when I talked about knowing the Law, the Mind and Will of God as it's learned in the first 39 books, then when we get to the last 27, let's make sure it all harmonizes. To understand the eye for an eye, tooth for a tooth, then turn the other cheek, we need to examine where that all came from - then we can understand what Christ was saying. And Wesley, I think, does a really great job with this. Especially because he points people to Deuteronomy 19, verse 1 when discussing "an eye for an eye, turn the other cheek."

[1] When Yahweh thy God hath cut off the nations, whose land Yahweh thy God giveth thee, and thou succeedest them, and dwellest in their cities, and in their houses;

[2] Thou shalt separate three cities for thee in the midst of thy land, which Yahweh thy God giveth thee to possess it.

Now here's something interesting right away. This passage is clearly talking about Israel being a nation. And for us, the principles for us - this is Ecclesia. (If and when we ever get it) When we get to the end of this text and see the eye for an eye origins - for us to

sit and try to explain the Words of Christ and apply them to us in this generation - apart from being the nation of Israel - the Commonwealth of Israel like we are supposed to be - is basically just taking the Words of Christ, jerking them completely out of context - then trying to convince people that the Words mean something they were never intended to mean. This is what I talk about all the time when I say the "church" is an evolutionized, convoluted religion that resembles absolutely nothing of the faith - the system of belief - once delivered to the saints. You can't understand the Words of Christ from Matthew 5, you can't understand unless you understand the Law of His Father. Verse 3. This is the Will of God for how His Government is supposed to be administered in His Nation.

[3] Thou shalt prepare thee a way, and divide the coasts of thy land, which Yahweh thy God giveth thee to inherit, into three parts, that every slayer may flee thither.

This is the circumstance of someone who killed, but didn't mean to.

[4] And this is the case of the slayer, which shall flee thither, that he may live: Whoso killeth his neighbour ignorantly, whom he hated not in time past;

He didn't mean to kill him. It wasn't murder. It was an accident, but the circumstances may not show that easily.

[5] As when a man goeth into the wood with his neighbour to hew wood, and his hand fetcheth a stroke with the axe to cut down the tree, and the head slippeth from the helve, and lighteth upon his neighbour, that he die;

(A total accident. But there was no one around to prove it was an accident.)

he shall flee unto one of those cities, and live:

[6] Lest the avenger of the blood pursue the slayer, while his heart is hot, and overtake him, because the way is long, and slay him; whereas he was not worthy of death, inasmuch as he hated him not in time past.

[7] Wherefore I command thee, saying, Thou shalt separate three cities for thee.

[8] And if Yahweh thy God enlarge thy coast, as he hath sworn unto thy fathers, and give thee all the land which he promised to give unto thy fathers;

[9] If thou shalt keep all these commandments to do them, which I command thee this day, to love Yahweh thy God, and to walk ever in his ways; then shalt

thou add three cities more for thee, beside these three:

[10] That innocent blood be not shed in thy land, which Yahweh thy God giveth thee for an inheritance, and so blood be upon thee.

Do we have any idea how much innocent blood has been shed in what is called the United States of America? A year or so ago, I don't remember exactly, I read over and over and over (till it was almost ridiculous) how many times the U.S. and its state "governments" had killed innocent people - and had they followed the Laws of God - they would not have happened. God is not pleased when innocent blood is shed.

[11] But if any man hate his neighbour, and lie in wait for him, and rise up against him, and smite him mortally that he die, and fleeth into one of these cities:

[12] Then the elders of his city shall send and fetch him thence, and deliver him into the hand of the avenger of blood, that he may die.

[13] Thine eye shall not pity him, but thou shalt put away the guilt of innocent blood from Israel, that it may go well with thee.

[14] Thou shalt not remove thy neighbour's landmark, which they of old time have set in thine inheritance, which thou shalt inherit in the land that Yahweh thy God giveth thee to possess it.

[15] One witness shall not rise up against a man for any iniquity, or for any sin, in any sin that he sinneth: at the mouth of two witnesses, or at the mouth of three witnesses, shall the matter be established.

We need to look at this. Over and over if necessary. This is the Law of God. Sin - crime - if we carelessly want to use that word - requires two witnesses - the punishment - a conviction of guilt requires two witness. Anything other than that is a violation of the Law of God and trouble is going to come as a result.

I'm not going into great detail here. If you want to object to this - or better yet - if you want a better understanding of how this works - I cannot strongly urge you enough to listen to Ted's - or read chapter 19 of his work Bible Law versus the U.S. CONstitution. It is the best on this I have ever read or heard. It explains it so that anyone can understand and again proves how far superior God's Law is to man's laws.

Before we leave verse 15 again - look at it closely.

[15] One witness shall not rise up against a man (now watch) for any iniquity, or for any sin, in any sin that he sinneth: at the mouth of two witnesses, or at the

mouth of three witnesses, shall the matter be established.

Listen America - ANY INIQUITY - ANY SIN - and this includes any rebellious thing they decide to define as good or evil - two witnesses!!! Two witnesses - or even three witnesses. The cop on the street coming against you is not two witnesses. America is a totally Lawless, God forsaken "nation" condemning itself openly because of its transgressions against the Law of God - clearly shown in Deuteronomy 29:15.

[16] If a false witness rise up against any man to testify against him that which is wrong;

Notice verse 16 - right after 15's demand - command - direct command from God that there must be two witnesses - one witness - could be called a false witness. I realize, yes, that this is more than likely saying that even if there were two witnesses - if one of those witnesses doesn't tell the truth - then verse 17 is the answer - but the admonition for two witnesses simply cannot be understated. In fact, it was so important, it goes on to say, maybe you should even have three witnesses.

[17] Then both the men, between whom the controversy is, shall stand before Yahweh, before the priests and the judges, which shall be in those days;

[18] And the judges shall make diligent inquisition: and, behold, if the witness be a false witness, and hath testified falsely against his brother;

[19] Then shall ye do unto him, as he had thought to have done unto his brother: so shalt thou put the evil away from among you.

[20] And those which remain shall hear, and fear, and shall henceforth commit no more any such evil among you.

To say that punishment for sin is not a deterrent, is to stick your fist in the face of God and say that He is wrong.

[21] And thine eye shall not pity; but life shall go for life, eye for eye, tooth for tooth, hand for hand, foot for foot.

The teaching for an eye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth comes after - and only after righteous judgement according to the Law of God. Christ was not dealing with people in Matthew 5 that were judging righteously according to the Law of God. The scribes and the Pharisees were not obeying nor were they teaching the Laws of God.

In this particular reference to an eye for an eye from Matthew 5 - I want you to also pay particular notice to what Christ said here. He said, "You have heard that it hath been said." I find that very interesting. And when I read that, it says to me something totally different than when Christ would say, for instance, "It is written." Or, "Moses said," Or, "the Law and the Prophets," or "saith concerning David." The scribes and Pharisees which Christ was speaking to in Matthew 5 were obviously not following the Law of God according to an eye for an eye. Christ was not changing His Father's Law - He was telling these people that in every single instance He dealt with them - they were the ones who had abrogated, changed, or left His Father's Laws.

"And many other such like things ye do."

There is a specific method in the Law of God for the application of an eye for eye, a tooth for a tooth - and when applied accordingly - it is something that brings great peace to the Ecclesia. But when it is perverted - as it was by the scribes and Pharisees - it creates chaos - just exactly as it has and is doing today. Matthew 5:39 - back to Wesley's notes.

Verse 39

But I say unto you, That ye resist not evil: but whosoever shall smite thee on thy right cheek, turn to him the other also.

But I say unto you, that ye resist not the evil man — Thus; the Greek word translated resist signifies standing in battle array, striving for victory. If a man smite thee on the right cheek - Return not evil for evil: yea, turn to him the other - Rather than revenge thyself 40,41. Where the damage is not great, choose rather to suffer it, though possibly it may on that account be repeated, than to demand an eye for an eye, to enter into a rigorous prosecution of the offender. The meaning of the whole passage seems to be, rather than return evil for evil, when the wrong is purely personal, submit to one bodily wrong after another, give up one part of your goods after another, submit to one instance of compulsion after another.

Then Wesley says:

That the words are not literally to be understood, appears from the behaviour of our Lord himself, John 18:22,23.

Wesley is saying, "This is not what Christ was saying." He is saying that Christ was not abrogating the Law of God.

When you look at Deuteronomy 29 - and you see how God has prescribed the proper usage for an eye for an eye - it comes about when great harm has been done. And in Deuteronomy 29 - the example is perjury. It's bearing false witness. Since 1787, that's just an arbitrary date, because the reality is, America was lost long before 1787 - that's just an official time stamp of the loss - but when America began to discard God's Laws for their own - or for the king of England's "laws" - perjury - false witness has been rampant.

There is no counting how many people on this land have been wrongly charged, wrongly convicted, wrongly punished because of the false testimony of cops, persecutors, judges, criminals that they pull out of a jail cell somewhere that they'll offer leniency in exchange for "testimony", etc., of so many who participate in the "criminal justice system" in the U.S.

I think I recall telling you a couple weeks ago maybe how one of the most well-known "lawyers" in this country talked about how much perjury takes place in the courts of America and nothing is done about it. In his speech, he was talking about how much perjury was instigated by the persecutors - but nothing is ever done about it. The persecutors are never held to accountability.

In my own situation, the persecutor and the cops sat there and told one blatant lie after another - completely unimpugned. No matter my demands for proof - no matter how outrageous their statements - they were allowed and the "judge" treated all of it as truth. If they did that kind of stuff to me - one can only imagine what they do to others. I believe it is more common for them to do those things - than it is to get to the truth. Why? Because men who are outside the city - love lies and love to make lies.

When an eye for an eye, a tooth for a tooth is used according to the Law of God - you do away with perjury, you do away with false witnesses, etc. When you apply the two witness rule - Law of God - you eliminate so much corruption potential. It's beautiful, perfect. The Law of God is so far superior to man's it's astounding.

In conclusion, Christ was saying to the scribes and Pharisees in Matthew 5, if someone says an insulting word to you - when there is no real harm or damage done - "turn the other cheek" - forget about it. It's no big deal. When the "prosecution" of the offense is

greater than the offense itself - let it go. Forget about it.

But when the offense is great - such as perjury - false witness - for the sake of the peace and dignity of the community - apply the eye for an eye, tooth for a tooth principle shown from Deuteronomy 29. Christ did not come to take away His Father's Laws and replace them with man's. That is insane!